SECILIT

$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$	E	v	1	Λ
_	. 7	^	- 1	А

Сору	<u> 15</u>	of	<u>Z5</u>

30 August 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

Estimate of Reduced Scope on Consolidated

ELINT System for the U-2

REFERENCES :

a. Results of Evaluation on Consolidated

ELINT System dtd. 26 August 1963

25X1A

b. Study Request to LAC for Pod Configuration Relative to Consolidated ELINT System dtd.

28 August 1963

25X1A

- 1. As indicated in the reference document, three recommendations were forwarded as a result of the evaluation on the Consolidated ELINT System for the U-2. This memorandum confirms action taken as a result of those findings. Referenced recommendations are included in paragraphs 4, 5, and 6 of the reference document, and include:
 - a. A recommendation to keep the present configuration of System XIIA, the S-, C-, and X-Band Warning, Ranging, and D/F'ing System (Ranging to be available only in S- and C-Bands), with provisions for integration of electronic packages in any new total systems configuration.

b. A recommendation to obtain re-estimates from on costs for a program on a reduced scope basis to include only:

(1) The General ELINT System

Control Section 25X1

- (2) The Special Intercept Package
- (3) The total System Recording Facilities

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/10/16 QA-RDP63-00313A000500 f20082-4

- Common de la commonda del commonda del commonda de la commonda del commonda de la commonda del commonda de la commonda de la commonda de la commonda de la commonda del comm
- c. A recommendation to have LAC make an aerodynamic and feasibility study on a pod to be mounted along Roll Axis of the aircraft near the C.G.
- 2. With reference to item la. above, no further action is deemed necessary. The contract for System XIIA is active, funded, and integration into any new system presents no problems.

With reference to item 1b. above, telecons and representatives between 25X1A were accomplished on of 25X1A 23 August 1963. During the telecons, it was requested that a re-estimate of costs be made for an effort as outlined in 1b. above. At that time, it was agreed that the new estimates for Phase I and Phase II effort would be transmitted to us via telephone on Monday, 26 August, to be followed by a letter covering the cost breakdown. New estimates received in telecons on 26 and 27 August were quoted as indicated in Enclosure I. ___(total CPFF cost For 25X1A a. ____ and six months. estimate) Phase I -25X1A Phase II - and twelve months. 25X1A For _____ (total CPFF cost estimate) and three months 25X1A and twelve months Phase II -On the basis of compatible performance of the systems as proposed, technical competence of the two firms, and the submitted costs, it would be recommended that be considered as the best candidate 25X1A for contractual commitment. A memorandum to the Contracts Division, OSA, is in preparation to request action on the above recommendation. Further recommendation will be made in the memorandum to CD/OSA to let a contract at this time for the Consolidated ELINT System only for Phase I. Primary reasoning for this procedure is budgetary in that only is available at the present time, i.e., from OEL and OSA each. 25X1A 25X1A A secondary reason is that, with a thorough study in

Phase I accomplished, Phase II should be negotiable on a fixed-price basis rather than CPFF.

25X1A

4. With reference to item lc. above, request to obtain estimates has been made to MD/OSA and scheduling on a study by LAC for a pod configuration totaling 9.5 cu. ft. and capable of carrying a payload of 400 lbs. The pod should be configured for belly mounting on the U-2 along the Roll Axis and located near the C.G. of the aircraft. Basic configuration would subtend present belly profile 4.5 inches, fair into the fuselage cross-section some 14-plus inches outboard of the fuselage center-line, have a length of some 6-plus feet in length, and be completely detachable for quick disconnect. Such a study should be considered only with the present systems I, III, and VI removed from their present positions in the aircraft for an appraisal of weight and balance as well as aerodyramic characteristics. The weight and displacement of the System I, III, and WI (normally III and VI) would be a normal complement of the pod, and would be included in the 400 lbs., 9 cu. ft. capacity of the pod. It has been recommended that the study include aerodynamic characteristics and weight and balance with and without slipper tanks. Payload of slipper tanks would be for extra fuel only.

25X1A

Engineering and Analysis Division OSA-DD/S&T

25X1A 🛚	AD/OSA	\:	too:[
	(30 Aug	gust 1963)	•
i (Cy 1 -	EAD/OSA	
		RDD/OEL	
		AD/OSA	
ļ		D/TECH/O	SA
	8 -	D/FA/OSA	
	9 -	COMMO/OS	A.
	10 -	PS/OSA	
	11 -	OD/OSA	
	12 -	CD/OSA	
İ		MD/OSA	
		EAD chro	no
		RB/OSA	

ELINT Development Branch RDD/OEL-DD/S&T

25X1A

SECRET